1. I have checked but I am not seeing much changes made.

* There is addition of min n max on the descriptive stat.
* No changes on regression and correlation analysis.-This is done
* Table 4.1 is 100.1% where 0.1 is misleading it needs how it was treated.-Done
* No changes made on ANOVA – Does not require changing

According to them they suggested that:

1. Create debatable analysis by showing agreement and disagreement of the results with other scholars. I hope you understand. – This is halway done, I need to read papers on the topic so that i can write their findings.

2. The Intrepretation should be accomplished by specific Implication.. – As above

3. Regression analysis:

* Results reported were not significant – This has been reworked
* Level of significance in which hypotheses were tested was not clear- This has been done
* There was not results for moderating hypothesis. They suggested that for results to be significant p value should be less or more than 0.05. – This is done
* Should check for the test of moderating variable. - Done

4. Correlation analysis

* Missing interpretation and discussion – Interpretation done
* Lack low-value in which level of significance is tested – 0.05 or 95% ci stated
* Indicate the overall mean and std deviation in the table for every variable. – This is done

5. They suggested secondary data should be used. I don't know what you can suggest there. – There is nothing that can be done about this

* Stratified sampling should be used and come up with the formula. – Why stratified, what was the stratification?

Those were the main corrections under chapter 4